## Agenda

Date: Tuesday 20th of November 2018

Attendees: Bindu, Justin, Joud, Assem, Justice

Location: Library Inholland

Secretary: Justin

Chairman: Bindu

Schedule:

1. Start
2. Items to be added
3. Minutes of meeting from 19th November
4. Present challenges and approaches to these challenges to the class
5. Receive feedback from instructors on submitted work
6. If possible, apply feedback to work
7. Divide tasks until the next meeting
8. Any other business
9. Closure

## Minutes

Date: Tuesday 20th of November 2018

Attendees: Bindu, Justin, Joud, Assem, Justice

Location: Library Inholland

Secretary: Justin

Chairman: Bindu

Schedule:

1. Start

The meeting started after Harald cancelling the presentations and said we should start working on the definitive project plan.

1. Work on/Finish Project Plan

Agreed on tasks. Assem and Joud work on risks, Bindu and Justice will describe the context in the project plan. Justin applied the acquired feedback from Harald to the project plan and will write the Agenda&Minutes.

1. Minutes of meeting from 19th November
2. Present challenges and approaches to these challenges to the class
3. Receive feedback from instructors on submitted work

We had a subquestion stated a little bit wrong, it was “How can the models be simplified?”. Because the models are already simplified, we have to find the models simple enough for us to use. We divided the most leading parts into ‘paperwork’, calculating with the models and the programming of the application. First of all the word paperwork doesn’t describe the work good enough, it should me modelling and reporting. Then Harald gave us the advice to divide these roles better, we appointed three people to the calculation work, but according to Harald the programming is the most time consuming part and it would be better to appoint two people for programming and two people for calculating. We didn’t write the context in the draft of the project plan because we didn’t know if we should copy&paste it to our plan. Harald told us to rewrite the context so we will all understand it better. The objective of the project is not per se to find the best possible solution, the solution should be derived from our application, so the objective is to create an application which shows predictions. Our main research question contains politically, this isn’t right, it’s not politically it should be ethically because it’s about what we think is acceptable. Also we should describe somewhere what we (as a group) think is ethically acceptable. Work from previous made projects is called secondary literature, the data from earlier projects can (obviously) be reused. When we want to look into previous projects/models/data we can ask Harald. We should finish an application for each week, start with unlimited food for the animals and add variables later (like wolves, limited food etc.). The subquestion about the display of our application can be answered with the help of UML. Because it’s not possible to plan detailed weeks ahead in this project we should plan detailed what’s close and we should make a rough planning for the later weeks. So it’s something like planning in cycles. The product breakdown is something to add, we should keep it to ourselves and delete it from the project plan.

1. If possible, apply feedback to work

The feedback acquired from Harald is directly applied. We changed the main and subquestions, the objective , have written the context and made a better task division.

1. Divide tasks until the next meeting
2. Any other business

There is no special other business at this moment.

1. Closure